IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION, MARK AMERIKA of ALT-X, ART ON THE NET, FEMINIST.COM, FULL CIRCLE BOOKS, OBGYN.NET, SANTA FE ONLINE, SEXUAL HEALTH INSTITUTE, STOP PRISONER RAPE, JEFF WALSH of OASIS MAGAZINE. AMERICAN BOOKSELLERS FOUNDATION FOR FREE EXPRESSION, ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN PUBLISHERS, INC., ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION, FREEDOM TO READ FOUNDATION, INC., INTERNATIONAL PERIODICAL DISTRIBUTORS ASSOCIATION, NEW MEXICO LIBRARY ASSOCIATION, PEN AMERICAN CENTER, PERIODICAL AND BOOK ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA, PUBLISHERS MARKETING ASSOCIATION, and RECORDING INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA,

Plaintiffs.

vs.

No. CIV 98-474 LH/DJS

GARY JOHNSON, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of New Mexico, and TOM UDALL, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of New Mexico.

Defendants.

ORDER

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and Order Granting Preliminary Injunction (Docket No. 39), filed July 8, 1998. The Court, having considered the pleadings, and otherwise being fully advised, finds that the motion is not well taken and will be **denied as moot**.

Defendants seek to have this Court reconsider its order requiring that the Defendants provide

a copy of the Court's Preliminary Injunction and its Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law to each

of the district attorneys of the State of New Mexico and its conclusion that the district attorneys are

in "active concert" with the Defendants. See FED. R. CIV. P. 65(d). The Court finds that, as the

Defendants have complied with the order's notice requirement, the motion is moot in so far as it seeks

to redact this provision. The Court finds that the Defendant's request to find that the district

attorneys are not in active concert with the Defendants is premature, as there is nothing before the

Court to indicate that any district attorney wishes to assert that he or she is not bound by the

preliminary injunction. Moreover, the Court doubts that these Defendants have standing to raise such

a claim on behalf of a district attorney—unless they are in fact, in active concert as described in Rule

65(d). Finally, the Court finds that as the Defendants have filed a notice of appeal—presumably

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)—their request for certification is not only unnecessary, it is moot.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Defendant's Motion to Amend Findings of Fact

and Conclusions of Law, and Order Granting Preliminary Injunction (Docket No. 10), filed May 28,

1998, is **denied as moot**.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE